## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |            |                        |  |  |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|--|--|
|                           | Plaintiff, | Case No. 2:14-cr-07    |  |  |
| V                         |            | HON ROBERT HOLMES BELL |  |  |

ALEXANDER BRANDON SAGATAW,

| Defendant. |  |
|------------|--|
|            |  |

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on April 22, 2014, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant ALEXANDER BRANDON SAGATAW entered a plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment, charging defendant with Distribution of Cocaine in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be

Case 2:14-cr-00007-PLM ECF No. 17 filed 04/23/14 PageID.32 Page 2 of 2

considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. It is further recommended that defendant

remain detained pending sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of

the plea agreement, determination of defendant's status pending sentencing, and imposition of

sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: April 23, 2014

/s/ Timothy P. Greeley

TIMOTHY P. GREELEY

United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than fourteen days after the plea hearing. *See* 

W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -